]	L	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California	
2	2	ALFREDO TERRAZAS	
3	3	Senior Assistant Attorney General DIANN SOKOLOFF	
. 4	1	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 161082	
	5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550	
(5	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2212	
	7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Diann.Sokoloff@doj.ca.gov	
	3	Attorneys for Complainant	
•	9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING	
1	o	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
1	$_1\parallel$	- 212 - 607	
1:	2	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2010 - 607	
1	3 ∥	ROGER CORPUZ TUGAS 717 Laurel Street	
1	4	San Jose, CA 95126 Registered Nurse License No. 649521 A C C U S A T I O N	
1	5		
1	6	Respondent.	
1	7	Complainant alleges:	
1	8	<u>PARTIES</u>	
1	9	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her	
2	0	official capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department	
2	1	of Consumer Affairs.	
2	22	2. On or about December 13, 2004, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered	
2	3	Nurse License Number 649521 to Roger Corpuz Tugas (Respondent). The Registered Nurse	
2	24	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought here and will	
2	25	expire on August 31, 2010, unless renewed.	
2			
	26		
,	26 27		

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 2761 of the Code states:

"The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- "(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(4) Denial of licensure, revocation, suspension, restriction, or any other disciplinary action against a health care professional license or certificate by another state or territory of the United States, by any other government agency, or by another California health care professional licensing board. A certified copy of the decision or judgment shall be conclusive evidence of that action."

COST RECOVERY

7. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16.

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

.25

26

27

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under section 2761, 8. subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about March 3, 2010, the California Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians issued a disciplinary order revoking respondent's license as a vocational nurse, License No. VN 171819, for violating: (1) section 2878, subdivision (a)(1) (unprofessional conduct and gross negligence relating to his care of three clients who resided in the convalescent facility in which respondent worked); (2) section 2878, subdivision (a)(4) (unprofessional conduct and the mistreatment or abuse of three clients under his care at the convalescent facility in which respondent worked); (3) section 2878, subdivision (a)/California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2518.6 (unprofessional conduct and the failure to maintain professional boundaries); and (4) section 2878, subdivisions (e) and (j) (dishonesty and making a false statement on the re-examination applications). (A copy of the disciplinary Order and Decision, including the Administrative Law Judge's Proposed Decision upon which the Board relied, is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.)

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, 9. Complainant alleges that on or about March 3, 2010, in a prior disciplinary action entitled In the Matter of the Accusation Against Roger Corpuz Tugas before the Board of Vocational Nursing, in Case Number VN-2004-2081, issued a disciplinary Order and Decision revoking respondent's license as a vocational nurse in California. That decision is now final and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- ///
- ///
- ///

<u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 649521, issued to Roger Corpuz Tugas.
- Ordering Roger Corpuz Tugas to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:	5/25/10	Louise L. Baile
·	. / /	LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN

Interim Executive Officer
Board of Registered Nursing
Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California
Complainant

SF2008200301 90145879.doc

-100 WH: 58

BEFORE THE BOARD OF VOCATIONAL NURSING AND PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIANS

2 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 Case No. VN-2004-2081 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: ROGER CORPUZ TUGAS OAH No. 2009040605 717 Laurel Street 8 San Jose, CA 95126 Vocational Nurse License No. VN 171819 10 Respondent. 12 13 **DECISION** 14 The attached Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge is hereby adopted by the 15 16 Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians as the Final Decision in the above entitled 17 matter. 18 This Decision shall become effective on March 3, 2010. 19 IT IS SO ORDERED this 1st day of February, 2010. 20 21 22 23 24 25

MARKET 29 PM 4: 06

11

26

27

28

BEFORE THE BOARD OF VOCATIONAL NURSING AND PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIANS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

ROGER CORPUZ TUGAS Los Gatos, California

Vocational Nurse License No. VN 171819

Case No. VN-2004-2081

OAH No. 2009040605

Respondent.

PROPOSED DECISION

Administrative Law Judge Nancy L. Rasmussen, Office of Administrative Hearings, State of California, heard this matter on September 14 and 15, 2009, in Oakland, California.

Deputy Attorney General Diann Sokoloff represented complainant Teresa Bello-Jones, J.D., M.S.N., R.N., Executive Officer, Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians, Department of Consumer Affairs.

Mark Cohen, Attorney at Law, represented respondent Roger Corpuz Tugas, who was not present.

The matter was submitted for decision on September 15, 2009.

FACTUAL FINDINGS

License History

1. On April 21, 1995, the Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians issued vocational nurse license number VN 171819 to respondent Roger Corpuz Tugas. The license expired on August 31, 2006.

Incidents at Los Gatos Oaks Convalescent Hospital

2. In June or July of 2004, while working as a licensed vocational nurse at Los Gatos Oaks Convalescent Hospital, respondent engaged in the following conduct with clients who resided in the facility:

- a. Respondent used a small battery-operated massager to massage the legs and inner thighs of Client P.N., a 76-year-old woman in a wheelchair. This took place in the facility dining room in view of other residents who had gathered to listen to music. Respondent made a remark to CNA (certified nursing assistant) J.H., who witnessed the incident, to the effect that he was just joking.
- b. Respondent placed the hand of a 70-year-old male client, Client D.D., over the groin of a 53-year-old female client, Client B.O., and made a rubbing motion with Client D.D.'s hand. This took place in Client B.O.'s room, when she was in bed after CNA J.H. had given her a shower. Respondent brought Client D.D. in his wheelchair into the room and over to the bed.
- c. Respondent came into Client D.D.'s room, where CNA J.H. was changing the client's diaper after a bowel movement. Respondent took the soiled diaper and put it up to Client D.D.'s face, rubbing feces on his cheek. Respondent said something to CNA J.H. to the effect that he was teaching Client D.D. a lesson, apparently because the client had gotten feces on his hands.
- 3. CNA J.H. witnessed all three incidents and he testified at the hearing. Although there were some inconsistencies between his testimony and statements he made to Department of Justice special agents in January 2005, these inconsistencies regarding some of the details of the incidents do not detract from CNA J.H.'s credibility regarding the facts set forth above.
- 4. Respondent did not testify at the hearing, and he declined to talk to Department of Justice special agents in January 2005.
- 5. Complainant established by expert evidence that respondent's conduct in each of the three incidents constituted an extreme departure from the standard of care for a competent licensed vocational nurse under similar circumstances. Respondent's actions could have resulted in harm to the clients. Respondent deviated from the standard of care which requires a licensed vocational nurse to treat all clients with dignity and respect, and to maintain professional boundaries with clients. A licensed vocational nurse should never act in a sexual manner with clients.

False Statement on Applications

6. Respondent apparently took the board's licensing examination more than once before he was issued a vocational nurse license on April 21, 1995. The board's records contain two "Board Application for Re-Examination Vocational Nurse" forms submitted by respondent and dated January 11, 1994, and July 20, 1994. Question 7 on this form states, in relevant part: "Since you filed your original application." In Have you been convicted or pled guilty or nolo contendere to any misdemeanor or felony?" On both of these forms, respondent checked the "No" box in answer to this question. Respondent's answer was false,

because he had been convicted on August 19, 1993, of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) (driving under the influence of alcohol). This conviction occurred after respondent filed his original application. Respondent's original application is not contained in the board's records in evidence, but there are five "Record of Conviction" forms completed by respondent and dated June 7, 1993. These forms, on which respondent disclosed information pertaining to two convictions and three traffic violations, appear to be the forms an applicant must fill out if he answers "Yes" to the question asking whether he has ever been convicted of a criminal offense. It can therefore be reasonably inferred that respondent's original application was filed on or about June 7, 1993, or earlier.

Costs of Investigation & Prosecution

ad Landonta profit .

7. The board has incurred the following costs from the Office of the Attorney General for the investigation and prosecution of this case:

 Deputy Attorney General

 2008/09: 36.25 hrs. @ \$158/hr.
 \$5,727.50

 2009/10: 31.25 hrs. @ \$170/hr.
 \$5,312.50

<u>Raralegal</u> 2008/09: 4.25 hrs. @ \$101/hr. - <u>\$ 429.25</u> TOTAL \$11,469.25

The case originally was assigned to Deputy Attorney General Rebecca Heinstein, who billed 20.75 hours to the case between July 10, 2008, and April 22, 2009. The case was reassigned to Deputy Attorney General Diann Sokoloff, who started billing to the case on May 19, 2009.

8. No evidence was offered regarding respondent's financial circumstances or ability to pay a cost recovery award.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

Applicable Law

- 1. Under Business and Professions Code section 118, subdivision (b), the board has continuing jurisdiction in this matter notwithstanding the expiration of respondent's vocational nurse license.
- 2. The standard of proof in this proceeding is clear and convincing evidence to a reasonable certainty.
- 3. Business and Professions Code section 2878 authorizes the board to suspend or revoke a vocational nurse's license for unprofessional conduct (subd. (a)); "gross negligence"

in carrying out usual nursing functions," as a type of unprofessional conduct (subd. (a)(1)); "use of excessive force upon or the mistreatment or abuse of any patient," as a type of unprofessional conduct (subd. (a)(4)); "[m]aking or giving any false statement or information in connection with the application for issuance of a license" (subd. (e)); and "commission of any act involving dishonesty, when that action is related to the duties and functions of the licensee" (subd. (j)).

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2518.6, provides in subdivision (b)(3): "A licensed vocational nurse shall adhere to standards of the profession and shall incorporate ethical and behavioral standards of professional practice which include . . . [m]aintaining professional boundaries with the patient/client."

Incidents at Los Gatos Oaks Convalescent Hospital

- 4. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 2878, subdivision (a)(1), for unprofessional conduct and gross negligence, by reason of his conduct in the three incidents described in Finding 2.
- 5. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 2878, subdivision (a)(4), for unprofessional conduct and the mistreatment or abuse of Client P.N., D.D. and B.O., by reason of his conduct in the three incidents described in Finding 2.
- 6. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 2878, subdivision (a), for unprofessional conduct, by reason of his violation of California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2518.6, subdivision (b)(3) (failure to maintain professional boundaries) in the three incidents described in Finding 2.

False Statement on Applications

7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 2878, subdivisions (e) and (j), for dishonesty and making a false statement on the re-examination applications.

¹ California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2519 contains the following definition of gross negligence:

[&]quot;[G]ross negligence" means a substantial departure from the standard of care which, under similar circumstances, would have ordinarily been exercised by a competent licensed vocational nurse, and which has or could have resulted in harm to the consumer. An exercise of so slight a degree of care as to justify the belief that there was a conscious disregard or indifference for the health, safety, or welfare of the consumer shall be considered a substantial departure from the above standard of care.

Appropriate Discipline

In view of the seriousness of respondent's misconduct in this case and the fact that his vocational nurse license has expired, the only appropriate discipline is revocation of the license.

Costs of Investigation & Prosecution

Complainant has requested that respondent be ordered to pay the board the costs of investigation and enforcement of the case. Business and Professions Code section 125.3 provides that respondent may be ordered to pay the board "a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case." The actual costs of investigation and enforcement are \$11,469.25. The case of Zuckerman v. Board of Chiropractic Examiners (2002) 29 Cal.4th 32 sets forth the factors to be considered in determining the reasonableness of costs. Those factors include whether the licensee has been successful at hearing in getting charges dismissed or reduced, the licensee's subjective good faith belief in the merits of his or her position, whether the licensee has raised a colorable challenge to the proposed discipline, the financial ability of the licensee to pay, and whether the scope of the investigation was appropriate to the alleged misconduct. None of these factors militate in respondent's favor. However, the reassignment of the case from one deputy attorney general to another must have resulted in some additional legal costs being billed. In view of this, it would be appropriate to reduce the cost recovery to \$10,000.

ORDER

- Vocational nurse license number VN 171819 issued to respondent Roger Corpuz Tugas is revoked.
- Respondent shall reimburse the board for its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$10,000.

DATED: October 7, 2009

NANCY L. RASMUSSEN

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings

OSVET PROCESSES

EDWAY 10 ANT 28 1 1 1 75

-5- TIATE

1	EDMUND G, BROWN JR., Attorney General
2	of the State of California FRANK H. PACOE
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General REBECCA HEINSTEIN, State Bar No. 173202
4	Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
5	Telephone: (415) 703-5604 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480
6	Attorneys for Complainant
7	BEFORE THE
8	BOARD OF VOCATIONAL NURSING AND PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIANS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. VN 2004-2081
-	ROGER CORPUZ TUGAS 16601 Lark Avenue, No. 8 ACCUSATION
12	16601 Lark Avenue, No. 8 ACCUSATION Los Gatos, CA 95032
13	Vocational Nurse License No. VN 171819
14	
.15	Respondent.
16	
17	Complainant alleges:
18	<u>PARTIES</u>
19	1. Teresa Bello-Jones, J.D., M.S.N., R.N. (Complainant) brings this
20	Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Vocational
21	Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians.
22	2. On or about April 21, 1995, the Board of Vocational Nursing and
23	Psychiatric Technicians issued Vocational Nurse License Number VN 171819 to Roger Corpuz
24	Tugas (Respondent). The Vocational Nurse License expired on August 31, 2006, and has not
25	been renewed.
26	JURISDICTION TO THE SECOND VINE SECOND
27	This Accusation is brought before the Board of Vocational Nursing and
28	Psychiatric Technicians (Board), under the authority of the following laws. All section.

1	references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	
2	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
3	4. Section 2875 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) provides, in	
4	pertinent part, that the Board may discipline the holder of a vocational nurse license for any	
5	reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2875) of the Vocational Nursing Practice	
6	Act.	
7	5. Section 118(b) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration	
8	of a license shall not deprive the Bureau jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during	
9	the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. Under	
10	section 2892.1 of the Code, the Bureau may renew an expired license at any time within four	
11	years after the expiration.	
12	6. Section 2878 of the Code states:	
13	"The Board may suspend or revoke a license issued under this chapter [the	
14	Vocational Nursing Practice Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, 2840, et seq.)] for any of the following:	
15	"(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:	
16	(1) Incompetence, or gross negligence in carrying out usual nursing functions.	
17		
18	(4) The use of excessive force upon or the mistreatment or abuse of any patient.	
19	For the purposes of this paragraph, 'excessive force' means force clearly in excess of that which	
20	would normally be applied in similar clinical circumstances.	
21		
22	"(e) Making or giving any false statement or information in connection with the	
23	application for issuance of a license.	
24		
25	"(j) The commission of any act involving dishonesty, when that action is related	
26	to the duties and functions of the licensee.	
27	SOUTH TO THE SECOND SOUTH SECON	
28	111	

- 11	·		
1	7. Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 2518.6 (b) states, in		
2	relevant part, that a licensed vocational nurse shall adhere to standards of the profession and shall		
3	incorporate ethical and behavioral standards of professional practice which include but are not		
4	limited to the following:		
5			
6	(3) Maintaining professional boundaries with the patient/client;		
7			
8			
9	8. Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 2519, states:		
0	"As set forth in Section 2878 of the Code, gross negligence is deemed		
1	unprofessional conduct and is a ground for disciplinary action. As used in Section 2878 'gross		
2	negligence' means a substantial departure from the standard of care which, under similar		
.3	circumstances, would have ordinarily been exercised by a competent licensed vocational nurse,		
4	and which has or could have resulted in harm to the consumer. An exercise of so slight a degree		
5	of care as to justify the belief that there was a conscious disregard or indifference for the health,		
6	safety, or welfare of the consumer shall be considered a substantial departure from the above		
7	standard of care."		
18	9. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may		
9	request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or		
20	violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation		
21	and enforcement of the case.		
22	FACTUAL BACKGROUND		
23	10. In or about June or July, 2004, Respondent was on duty as a licensed		
24	vocational nurse at Los Gatos Oaks Convalescent Hospital in Los Gatos, California (Oaks).		
25	Respondent used a battery operated device to massage the legs and thighs of Client T.P., aged		
26			
27	SHAWA TO WAI: 58		
28	1. Initials are used in order to preserve confidentiality. Full patient names will be disclosed pursuant to a request for discovery.		

12	above.
12.	auuyt.

3

4

6

7

8

.9

. .

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

.24

25

26

27

28 | / /

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Mistreatment and/or Abuse of a Client)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2878(a)(4) of the Code, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that in or about June or July, 2004, while on duty as a licensed vocational nurse at Oaks, Respondent mistreated and/or abused Client T.P., as set forth in paragraph 10, above.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Mistreatment and/or Abuse of a Client)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2878(a)(4) of the Code, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that in or about June or July, 2004, while on duty as a licensed vocational nurse at Oaks, Respondent mistreated and/or abused Clients D.D. and B.O., as set forth in paragraph 11, above.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Mistreatment and/or Abuse of a Client)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2878(a)(4) of the Code, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that in or about June or July, 2004, while on duty as a licensed vocational nurse at Oaks, Respondent mistreated and/or abused Client D.D., as set forth in paragraph 12, above.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failed to Maintain Professional Boundaries)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2878(a) of the Code, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that in or about June or July, 2004, while on duty as a licensed vocational nurse at Oaks, Respondent failed to maintain professional boundaries with Client T.P., in violation of Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 2518.6(b)(3), as set forth in paragraph 10, above.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failed to Maintain Professional Boundaries)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2878(a) of the Code, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that in or about June or July, 2004, while on duty as a licensed vocational nurse at Oaks, Respondent failed to maintain professional boundaries with Clients B.O. and D.D., in violation of Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 2518.6(b)(3), as set forth in paragraph 11, above.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failed to Maintain Professional Boundaries)

21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2878(a) of the Code, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that in or about June or July, 2004, while on duty as a licensed vocational nurse at Oaks, Respondent failed to maintain professional boundaries with Client D.D., in violation of Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 2518.6(b)(3), as set forth in paragraph 12, above.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(False Statement on Application)

- 22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2878(e) of the Code, in that on or about January 11, 1994 and July 20, 1994, on his "Board Applications for Re-Examination Vocational Nurse," Respondent failed to disclose the following criminal conviction:
- a. On or about August 19, 1993, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Roger Tugas*, Municipal Court of Glendale, County of Los Angeles, State of California, Case Number 93M04833, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(A) (driving under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol).

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Act Involving Dishonesty)

23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2878(j) of the Code, in that Respondent committed an action of ving disponesty, as set forth in paragraph 22, above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Vocational Nurse License Number VN 171819, issued to Roger Corpuz Tugas.
- 2. Ordering Roger Corpuz Tugas to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: March 17, 2009

TEKESA BELLO-JONES, J.D., M.S.N., R.N.

Executive Officer

Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians

State of California

Complainant

seried to valies

27.

.20